



**MEETING OF  
UNIVERSITY PARK MAYOR AND COMMON COUNCIL  
WILL BE HELD  
VIA VIDEO AND AUDIO CONFERENCE  
7:30 p.m.  
February 2, 2026  
REGULAR SESSION**

**The meeting will take place at Town Hall in the conference room. This meeting will be conducted as a hybrid meeting. Members of the public may only join the meeting virtually. To join the meeting through Zoom, please use the link below.**

***A closed session has been called to begin immediately following the Council meeting.***

**Join Meeting:**

**<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89189649729?pwd=NVRweGplSSStIdm5UyStTY0g4TFUvdz09>**

**To join with audio only, dial:** (301) 715-8592

**Meeting ID:** 891 8964 9729

**Passcode:** 441152

**Public Participation:**

Participants who join the video/audio conference will be muted during the meeting except for Mayor, Council, and staff. Participants can turn their video on during the meeting, so we can all see each other, or can turn it off if they would rather. Participants will have the opportunity to speak during Public Comment - agenda item #4. During that time, all participants will be taken off mute. If you would like to comment, please state your name and Mayor Morrissey will call on you to speak. Public comments will be limited to three minutes.

Public comments or questions may also be made by emailing the Town Clerk TC Hegeman at [thegeman@upmd.org](mailto:thegeman@upmd.org). All electronic comments must be submitted by 12 p.m. on February 2, 2026. Written comments have been provided to the Mayor and Council and are part of the record.

**1. CALL TO ORDER: *Mayor Morrissey***

**Present:**

Dubayah  
Gathercole  
Kelby  
Mowery  
McGaughey  
Godfrey  
Sweet

**Absent:**

Dubayah  
Gathercole  
Kelby  
Mowery  
McGaughey  
Godfrey  
Sweet

2. **APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA**
3. **APPROVAL OF MINUTES** *January 20, 2026*
4. **PUBLIC COMMENT** *(limited to 3 minutes per speaker)*
5. **MAYOR, COUNCIL, AND COMMITTEE COMMENTS AND REPORTS**
6. **NEW BUSINESS**
  - A. **CONSIDERATION OF TRAFFIC PETITION FOR 4335 CLAGETT ROAD**
  - B. **CONSIDERATION OF DATE CHANGE FOR JUNE 15, 2026, COUNCIL MEETING**
  - C. **CONSIDERATION OF ACCEPTING A \$20,000.00 MARYLAND 2026 SUSTAINABLE ACTION GRANT TO FUND A COMMUNITY LEARNING GARDEN**
  - D. **INTRODUCTION OF LEGISLATIVE RESOLUTION 26-O-01 TO AMEND THE FY26 BUDGET TO ADD REVENUE AND EXPENSE SUSTAINABLE ACTION GRANT BUDGET LINE-ITEMS TO RECEIVE A \$20,000 MARYLAND 2026 SUSTAINABLE ACTION GRANT AND INCLUDE IT IN THE OPERATING EXPENDITURES BUDGET.**
7. **ADJOURNMENT**

*A closed session has been called to begin immediately following the Council meeting to discuss (1) the appointment, employment, assignment, promotion, discipline, demotion, compensation, removal, resignation or performance evaluations of appointees, employees, or officials over whom it has jurisdiction; or (ii) any other personnel matter that effects one or more specific individuals; and (7) consult with counsel to obtain legal advice*

**TOWN OF UNIVERSITY PARK  
MAYOR AND COMMON COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES  
VIA VIDEO AND AUDIO CONFERENCE  
January 20, 2026, 7:30 P.M.**

**COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:**

Ralph Dubayah	Council member, Ward 1
Mary Gathercole	Council member, Ward 2
Casey Kelby	Council member, Ward 3
Cynthia Mowery	Council member, Ward 4
David McGaughey	Council member, Ward 5
Grant Godfrey	Council member, Ward 6
William Sweet	Council member, Ward 7

**STAFF PRESENT:**

Debi Sandlin	Town Administrator
Suellen Ferguson	Town Attorney
TC Hegeman	Town Clerk
Carrina Bucknor	Town Treasurer
Chief Coleman	Police Chief

**1. CALL TO ORDER**

Mayor Morrissey called the meeting to order at 7:30 p.m.

**2. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA**

**Motion:** To approve the agenda. *Motion was made by CM Sweet and seconded by CM Godfrey.*

**Motion was carried unanimously.**

**3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

**Motion:** To approve the minutes of the January 5, 2026 meeting. *Motion was made by CM Sweet and seconded by CM Mowery.* CM Kelby and CM McGaughey abstained due to absence.

**Motion was carried 5-0.**

**4. PUBLIC COMMENT**

A resident emailed their comments about the Special Exception fence request. The comments were forwarded to Mayor and Council prior to the meeting.

A resident wanted to speak about the Special Exception fence request and was informed that she would have the opportunity to speak during that specific agenda item.

## **5. MAYOR, COUNCIL, AND COMMITTEE COMMENTS AND REPORTS**

### **a. Sustainability Committee – CM Dubayah**

The committee met last week and announced residents can now enjoy a walking path on the far side of the meadow. The Sustainable Maryland certification will be up for renewal this summer. The committee will learn more about the process in February and start working on the application in the spring.

The Stream Cleanup is scheduled for April 25th, hosted by the Anacostia Watershed Society. Details for registration will be forthcoming. The committee also plans to discuss lighting that promotes resident safety and is friendly to wildlife. Council will get a preview of this messaging in the second February Council meeting.

CM Dubayah recognized all volunteers who have worked on trees, sustainability, and environmental initiatives on behalf of the town. A celebration of these volunteers was hosted over the weekend.

### **b. Public Facilities Committee – CM Sweet**

The committee will meet this Thursday at 7:30 p.m.

### **c. Development Overview Committee – CM McGaughey**

The committee met on January 8th and continued discussion of HB891 regarding Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs).

CM Mowery attended the task force meeting and learned that the task force has assigned two or three working committees. The committee identified three main concerns to communicate to the task force: (1) maintaining current stormwater standards without relaxation due to ADUs; (2) maintaining front yard parking standards to prevent multiple driveways and paving of front yards; and (3) obtaining clear information about size restrictions for ADUs. The committee plans to reach out to neighboring municipalities including Greenbelt, College Park, and others to coordinate positions. The County Council is expected to receive a written ordinance by summer 2026 and must adopt it by fall 2026 to comply with state law.

Attorney Ferguson reported that three pre-filed bills from the governor's office were submitted when the state legislature session began. One bill is concerning as it would remove all setbacks and reduce minimum lot sizes from 6,500 square feet to 5,000 square feet. Another bill could be interpreted as an attempt to remove single-family house zoning and replace it with duplexes and triplexes statewide.

### **d. Police, Traffic & Public Safety Committee – CM Gathercole**

The committee will meet on February 4th.

### **e. Trees, Parks and Environment Committee – CM Godfrey**

The committee will have its 2026 planning meeting on Saturday at 1:00 p.m. at former Mayor Carey's house.

### **f. Policy, Rules and Municipal Structure Committee – CM Godfrey**

The committee will meet on Monday.

## **6. NEW BUSINESS**

### **a. Recognition of Former Newsletter Editors Bridget Warren and Todd Stewart**

Mayor Morrissey reported that Bridget Warren was unable to attend but sent her apologies and expressed appreciation for the recognition. Each Council member read a section of the resolution.

**Motion:** To approve Resolution 26-R-01 recognizing University Park Newsletter editors for their service to the town. *Motion was made by CM Godfrey and seconded by CM McGaughey.*

**Motion was carried unanimously.**

### **b. Reappointment of Supervisor of Elections Chair and Supervisor**

**Motion:** To authorize the reappointment of Stephanie Herold and Michelle Wedge as Supervisors of Elections for a two-year term beginning March 1st, 2026, and appoint Ms. Herold as chair per Section 502 of the Town Charter. *Motion was made by CM Sweet and seconded by CM Gathercole.*

**Motion was carried unanimously.**

### **c. Selection of Date for 2026 Town Election**

**Motion:** To set the date for general elections for town offices as May 12th, 2026. *Motion was made by CM Sweet and seconded by CM Gathercole.*

**Motion was carried unanimously.**

### **d. Special Exception to Install a 3-Foot High Fence in the Front and Side Yard (6601 44th Avenue)**

Attorney Ferguson informed Council of the specifics of the application to install a three-foot fence in the front yard and along the side yard. She also cited the code generally prohibits front yard fences in town. In this case, any side yard fence would have to be set back at least 25 feet from the property line on Underwood Street.

Mr. Tarvin explained the fence is needed to provide a visible and effective barrier between their dog and pedestrians on the sidewalk. The property is a corner lot with a unique configuration - the house is tucked back into the corner, leaving no backyard and very negligible side yards. He stated that if they were to abide by the 25-foot setback line, there would be no place to build a fence that would provide any effective space for them to utilize and create an awkward-looking structure that would detract from the character of the house and neighborhood.

A neighbor across the street expressed concern about the precedent of having a fence in the front yard.

A written statement from a resident in opposition to the application was entered into the record.

Council engaged in extensive discussion about the special exception criteria, the uniqueness of the lot configuration, the hardship presented, and potential compromises.

**Motion:** To approve a special exception for the side yard fence, relieving the 25-foot setback requirement and allowing the side yard fence to extend to the property line, but denying the special exception for the front yard fence extending past the front of the house.

*Motion was made by CM Godfrey and seconded by CM McGaughey.*

**Motion was carried 6-1.**

**Motion:** To amend the motion to include the front yard.

*Motion was made by CM Sweet seconded by CM Mowery.*

**Motion fails 1-6.**

#### **e. Proposed Budget Worksessions**

Administrator Sandlin presented proposed dates for budget worksessions. Council decided a poll to determine the best dates would be a good idea. Administrator Sandlin will coordinate with Council to identify workable dates in February and March for the budget review sessions.

#### **f. Consideration of Approval of a Contract with Obvio, Inc. for Installation of a Stop Sign Camera System**

Attorney Ferguson explained the contract with Obvio, Inc. for installation of stop sign cameras. Council discussed various aspects of the contract.

Council requested that before installation, they receive:

- Obvio's list of proposed camera sites for Council approval
- Specifications for the cameras including the size of solar panels
- Council approval of pole colors (green or brown)
- Opportunity to see an example unit if possible

**Motion:** To approve the attached agreement with Obvio, Inc., conditional upon receipt and Council approval of the proposed site list, camera specifications including solar panel dimensions, and agreement on pole colors.

*Motion was made by CM Gathercole and seconded by CM Godfrey.*

**Motion was carried unanimously.**

### **7. DEPARTMENT REPORTS**

#### **a. Town Administrator – Debi Sandlin**

The written report was included in the meeting packet.

#### **b. Town Clerk – TC Hegeman**

The written report was included in the meeting packet.

#### **c. Town Treasurer – Carrina Bucknor**

She reported that through November and December 2025, the town has completed the first half of fiscal year 2026. Revenue and expenditures are on track as expected. Overall, the town's financial condition is supported by strong cash flow.

#### **d. Police Chief – Chief Coleman**

The Chief reported on the noise camera installed on Adelphi Road. The camera exists under a State of Maryland pilot program. The camera is owned by Genoptic, and the county is

running it. He is working to obtain access to the violation data, which will provide intelligence on when violations occur and help determine how to address the noise issue.

## **8. ADJOURNMENT**

Adjourned by consent at 9:34 p.m.

DRAFT

**To:** TC Hegeman, Town Clerk Town of University Park  
Councilmember Mary Gathercole, Chair of the Police, Traffic and Public Safety Committee

**Date:** November 11, 2025

**Subject:** Petition for the Installation of a Speed Bump at or near 4335 Clagett Rd

We, the undersigned residents of the 4300 Block of Clagett RD, University Park, MD respectfully request the installation of permanent speed bump at 4335 Clagett RD or a neighboring house.

This measure is necessary to address the significant and consistent problem of speeding, stop sign avoidance and excessive "cut-through" traffic in our residential area.

The reasons for our request are as follows:

- **Safety concerns:** High vehicle speeds pose a direct and serious threat to the safety of pedestrians, cyclists, and children who live and play on this street. At least four parked cars have been damaged by moving vehicles on this section of the block in the past three years. Drivers consistently do not stop or slide through the stop sign at the Clagett Road and Queens Chapel Road intersection.
- **Preventative measure:** The installation of a speed bump would serve as a proactive and effective solution to slow traffic and prevent potential accidents.
- **Community quality of life:** This traffic calming measure will restore a sense of safety and peace, improving the overall quality of life for all residents.

We have reviewed University Park's guidelines for traffic calming (Legislative Resolution 23-O-02) and believe our street segment meets the necessary criteria for consideration. The 4300 block of Clagett Rd route (route 21 in the *A. Morton Thomas: Traffic Calming Study*) has the second highest a count of traffic in University Park and almost three times the traffic of most of the other UP routes. Further the speed data reflects the old speed limit of 25 MPH not the 20 MPH that is in force. We urge you to approve our request and move forward with traffic engineering to install this much-needed safety device as a first step in the town's overall traffic solution.

Thank you for your attention to this critical neighborhood issue.

**Petition Contact:**



Ned D. Goldberg

**Address:** 4335 Clagett RD University Park, MD 20782-1140

**Phone:** [REDACTED] (H) **Email:** [REDACTED]

Attachment (1)

Cc: Councilmember Dave McGaughey

## Article 4. Traffic Calming Devices

### § 9-401. Purpose.

The purpose of this article is to promote and maintain safe vehicular speeds on residential streets and to enhance the livability of neighborhoods.

### § 9-402. Definitions.

As used in this article, the following terms shall have the meanings indicated:

#### **CHOKER**

These devices physically reduce the width of the road, thereby forcing the driver to reduce the vehicle speed to safely travel through the device.

#### **EDGE LINES MARKINGS**

These markings are used to delineate lane widths, thereby potentially reducing vehicle speeds. The area between the edge of the road and the lane marking can be used for parking in selected situations or as a bike lane.

#### **INTERSECTION MODIFICATIONS**

Changing the intersection by restricting through movements or raising the pavement height to effectively regulate vehicle speeds. These changes can also include reducing the corner radius at the intersections.

#### **MEDIAN OR PEDESTRIAN REFUGE ISLANDS**

These devices are generally used with multilane roadways. They are used to reduce the width of a road which can regulate vehicle speeds and provide improved pedestrian crossing opportunities.

#### **ROAD MEDIANS**

These devices can range in length, width and architectural features. They are effective in reducing the width of the street and reducing the turning radius of intersections.

#### **RUMBLE STRIPS**

These devices create tire noise and are used primarily to alert drivers to a change in road conditions.

#### **SPEED HUMPS**

These devices are designed to produce an elongated pavement rise that is designed to allow vehicles to travel over them safely at or below the posted speed limit.

#### **TRAFFIC CALMING**

The terminology used to describe various methods of reducing vehicular speeds and volumes on residential neighborhood streets. These methods typically require the installation of physical devices such as traffic circles, rumble strips, edge lines markings and speed humps that were developed for the purpose of discouraging vehicular speeding.

#### **TRAFFIC CIRCLES**

These devices are generally installed in intersections. The roadway alignment is deflected around the center island thereby reducing vehicle speeds.

### § 9-403. Request for installation of traffic calming device by petition.

A request for the installation of a traffic calming device by residents can be made by petition.

A.

Petition request.

(1)

Homeowners of property on a street can submit a petition to the Town requesting that a traffic calming device be installed on that street. The petition shall define the portion of the street for which the request for a traffic calming device is made. The petition must be signed by at least 75% of the homeowners of the properties that:

(a)

Front the street for which the traffic calming device is requested; and

(b)

Are located within 500 feet of the requested installation site.

(2)

If a traffic calming device is requested for an intersection, the petition must be circulated to the homeowners of all properties facing on intersecting streets within 500 feet of the requested installation site.

(3)

The Town Clerk shall review the petition to determine the total number of eligible homeowners on the street(s) and shall compute the percentage of homeowners signing the petition. If that percentage is 75% or greater, the Town Clerk shall validate the petition and inform the Mayor and Council that a petition has been validated for the installation of a traffic calming device at a particular location.

(4)

A previous petition made in the same area after a prior refusal by the Town to install traffic calming devices shall not be considered by the Town without findings that the traffic conditions, volumes or other relevant factors have materially changed.

B.

To assist homeowners in petitioning for traffic calming devices, the Town Clerk is authorized to distribute a standard petition form.

#### § 9-404. Review of petition by Town Traffic Engineer.

A.

Upon receipt of a validated petition, the Town Traffic Engineer, or other Town-authorized person, shall evaluate the petition and conduct all necessary studies. A report shall be issued by the Town Traffic Engineer or other authorized person within 90 days of receipt of a validated petition. Upon request, this time frame may be extended by the Mayor and Council. The report shall, if warranted, contain a recommended traffic calming method and a recommended installation site. The report shall take into consideration any hill, curve or street condition that may affect the placement of the traffic calming device. In addition, the Town Traffic Engineer or other authorized person will include estimated costs for the recommended solution or solutions, so that the cost effectiveness of such solutions can be evaluated.

#### § 9-405. Public hearing; criteria for evaluating requests.

A.

Conduct of public hearing.

(1)

Upon receipt of the completed report, the Mayor and Council shall schedule a public hearing to solicit the opinions of the Town's residents.

(2)

The public hearing shall be advertised in the Town newsletter.

(3)

After conducting the public hearing, the Mayor and Council shall announce its decision within 45 days of the close of the hearing record. This time may be extended by majority vote of the Council.

(4)

The Mayor and Council may approve, approve with modifications or deny the request for the installation of a traffic calming device.

(5)

The Town Clerk shall notify the petitioners of the Mayor and Council's decision, which shall also be published in the newsletter.

B.

Guidelines for evaluating a request for the installation of a traffic calming device. Petitions requesting the installation of a traffic calming device shall be evaluated in accordance with the standards established by the State Highway Administration and the Institute of Traffic Engineering guidelines. The following criteria are intended to further guide the Mayor and Council in determining whether a request for a traffic calming device is reasonable and justified. These shall not be considered exclusive criteria:

(1)

The street proposed for a traffic calming device has an identified speeding problem which cannot be alleviated any other way than by a traffic calming device. Such a problem can be identified through a combination of resident complaints, police radar surveillance and ticketing practices and the history of the previous efforts to control speeding on the street. Traffic calming devices will only be installed to address documented safety or traffic concerns supported by traffic engineering studies. Devices can be implemented individually or in conjunction with other traffic calming measures depending upon area conditions and characteristics.

(2)

The street carries a higher volume of nonresidential traffic than would normally be expected. Streets considered for traffic calming must be primarily residential with a majority of residential homes and driveways fronting on the street.

(3)

The installation of traffic calming devices shall be assessed for their potential impact on public transportation, fire and rescue operations and street maintenance operations, e.g., street sweeping and snow plowing.

(4)

The potential impact of traffic calming devices on adjacent neighborhoods shall be assessed.

(5)

Speed humps may be considered when 15% of the total volume of the traffic is exceeding the posted speed limit by five miles per hour or more.

(6)

If a problem is determined during the engineering study, the Department of Public Works will consult with the homeowners of the particular street and develop a plan for the type and location of traffic calming devices.

(7)

The costs of a recommended solution or solutions by the Traffic Engineer or other authorized person should be evaluated by the Council.

#### § 9-406. Removal or alteration of devices.

Upon request of the 75% of the homeowners of property facing on the street within 500 feet of a traffic calming device, at least six months following the installation of the traffic calming device, the Mayor and Council shall consider removing or altering the traffic calming device. The request for removal or alteration must be made by petition subject to the procedures set forth in this article.

#### § 9-407. Authority of Town.

A.

The Mayor and Council may initiate the installation, alteration or removal of traffic calming devices at any time as they deem appropriate.

B.

Nothing in this article shall be construed as preempting the Mayor and Council from installing, altering, maintaining or removing a traffic calming device. Town residents will have an opportunity to comment on any proposed installation, change or removal by the Mayor and Council at a public meeting prior to adoption.

C.

If, by decision of the Mayor and Council, a specific traffic calming device identified in a petition is deemed inappropriate for the location or purpose, other speed control devices may be substituted.



**TOWN OF UNIVERSITY PARK  
AGENDA ITEM COVER REPORT**

---

**Agenda Item #6B**

**Meeting Date:** February 2, 2026

---

**Item Title:** Consideration of a date change for the June 15, 2026, Council Meeting and Swearing In Ceremony

**Background/Justification:**

- This year the MML summer conference is scheduled June 14-17, 2026. This conflicts with the second Council meeting date of June 15, 2026, and the swearing in for newly elected/re-elected officials.
- Currently the Charter states that the swearing in occurs at the second meeting in June. The majority of Council will be unavailable for the date currently set because of the conference, and the date should be rescheduled.
- I discovered this conflict while scheduling the Clerk of the Court for the June swearing in ceremony. Scheduling with the Clerk should be done well ahead of the meeting, and so a decision now on when the second meeting in June will take place would be helpful.

**Other Options/Alternatives:** Set a different date for the swearing in and the second meeting in June 2026.

**Fiscal Impact:** N/A

**Committee Recommendation:** N/A

**Staff Comments:** Staff recommends the fourth Monday in June – June 22, 2026.

**Community Engagement:** N/A

**Recommended Action:** *Motion: To change the original date of June 15, 2026, for the swearing in and the second meeting to June 22, 2026.*

**Attachments:** N/A

**\*MML Sumer Conference dates are June 14-17 Sunday-Wednesday, and the Juneteenth Holiday is Friday, June 19. The agenda must be posted by Thursday, June 18.**



**TOWN OF UNIVERSITY PARK  
AGENDA ITEM COVER REPORT**

---

**Agenda Item # 6C & D**

**Meeting Date:** February 2, 2026

---

**Item Title:** To accept a \$20,000.00 Maryland 2026 Sustainable Action Grant to fund a Community Learning Garden and introduce Legislative Resolution 26-O-01 to amend the FY26 Budget to add revenue and expense Sustainable Action Grant budget line-items to receive the grant and include it in the operating expenditures budget.

**Background/Justification:**

- The Sustainability Committee and UPES Parent Teacher Association assisted the Town in preparing and applying for the Maryland 2026 Sustainable Action Grant.
- The purpose of the grant is to transform an underutilized grass area on UPES school property into a Community Learning Garden available to neighbors and the school as a place to remember our interconnectedness with our plant and animal neighbors while learning and practicing sustainable and food production.
- By building relationships with the land, plants, and animals, the hope is to foster a deeper cultural commitment to sustainability throughout the town.
- The funding from the grant will:
  - Offer adults and children a place to reconnect to seasons and cycles, learn about feeding soil, activate areas with new native plants, build skills in gardening, tending, and eating new foods, and offer students in our town more learning time outdoors.
  - Fund a garden that will have six raised beds for classroom and community use, compost bins, an outdoor education area with chalkboard and seating, an ADA entrance and raised bed, a native pollinator garden, and a mini food forest with fruit bushes and trees extending out into the surrounding area.
  - Fence the perimeter of the vegetable bed garden for protection from deer and the nearby parking lot while other edible plants will weave their way around the building with plant identification signage.
- The grant is a partnership between the UPES Parent Teacher Association and the Town of University Park and will require the consent of UPES for installation.
- Separate revenue and expense Sustainable Action grant budget line items will ensure transparency and accountability in tracking project expenditures.

**Options/Alternatives:** Approve or deny the acceptance of the grant and proposed amendment to the FY26 budget to add a separate revenue and expense Maryland Sustainable Action grant budget line item.

**Fiscal Impact:** This is revenue neutral, as the grant will pay for the project.

**Committee Recommendation:** The Sustainability Committee supports the acceptance of this grant and the project it is designed to fund.

**Staff Comments:**

Staff supports accepting this grant and amending the FY26 budget to add Maryland Sustainable Action Grant revenue and expense budget line-items.

**Community Engagement: N/A**

**Recommended Action: Accept the grant and introduce Legislative Resolution 26-O-01 to approve amending the FY26 budget to add Maryland Sustainable Action Grant revenue and expense budget line-items.**

*Motion: To authorize acceptance of a \$20,000.00 Maryland 2026 Sustainable Action Grant to fund a Community Learning Garden and to introduce Legislative Resolution 26-O-01 to amend the FY26 budget to add revenue and expenditure line-items to receive the grant and include it in the operating expenditures budget.*

Ordinance to Amend the Fiscal Year 2026 Budget  
to add revenue and an expense line items for a  
Maryland 2026 Sustainable Action Grant  
Sponsored by: Councilmember

**LEGISLATIVE RESOLUTION 26-O-01**

Resolution and Ordinance of the Town of University Park, to amend the FY 2026 Budget Ordinance, Legislative Resolution 25-O-03, to add a new revenue line item in Income, General Fund Revenues, Category VI – Grant and Bond Revenue, line item 4240-00, Sustainable Action Grant – Community Learning Garden and add a new expenditure line item in Public Works, Category II – Operating-Parks & Recreation, line item 6238-02 – Community Learning Garden – Sustainable Action Grant, to receive a Maryland 2026 Sustainable Action grant in the amount of \$20,000.00 and include it in the operating expenditures budget.

Section 1. Be it resolved and ordained by the Mayor and Common Council of the Town of University Park that Legislative Resolution 25-O-03, Fiscal Year 2026 Budget Ordinance, be and is hereby amended to add the following:

**Account Name**

Income	
General Fund Revenues:	
Category: VI – Grant & Bond Revenue	
4249-00 – Sustainable Action Grant – Community Learning Garden	\$20,000.00
Expenditure:	
Public Works	
Category: II - Operating - Parks & Rec	
6238-02 – Community Learning Garden – Sustainable Action Grant	\$20,000.00

**EXPLANATION:**

**CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW**

~~[Brackets]~~ indicate matter deleted from existing law

\* \* Asterisks\* \* indicate existing law which are unchanged but not set forth in the ordinance

**CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED BY AMENDMENT TO THE PROPOSED ORDINANCE**

~~[Brackets]~~ indicate matter deleted by amendment to the proposed ordinance

Section 2. Be it further resolved that this resolution shall become effective twenty (20) days after enactment by the Mayor and Common Council of University Park.

Section 3. If any section, subsection, provision, sentence, clause, phrase or word of this Ordinance is for any reason held to be illegal or otherwise invalid by any court of competent jurisdiction, such invalidity shall be severable, and shall not affect or impair any remaining section, subsection, provision, sentence, clause, phrase or word included within this Ordinance, it being the intent of the Town that the remainder of the Ordinance shall be and shall remain in full force and effect, valid and enforceable.

Section 4: Be it further resolved that a complete and exact copy of this adopted Ordinance shall be posted on the entrance door of the Town Building of University Park, Maryland, for a period of not less than ten (10) days, and a fair summary of this Ordinance shall be published at least once in a newspaper having general circulation in the community.

**ADOPTED** the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2026.

ATTEST:

MAYOR AND COMMON COUNCIL  
TOWN OF UNIVERSITY PARK

\_\_\_\_\_  
T'Alicandra Hegeman, Town Clerk

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Laurie K. Morrissey, Mayor

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND  
LEGAL SUFFICIENCY

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Suellen M. Ferguson, Town Attorney